

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.53486/cike2023.64>

UDC: [346.5:061.1EU]:347.7(478)

HARMONIZATION OF MOLDOVA'S LAW ON COMPETITION WITH EU DIRECTIVES: IMPLICATIONS AND CHALLENGES

ELENA CIOCHINA, PhD
General Economy and Law Faculty
Academy of Economic Studies of Moldova
Chisinau, Republic of Moldova
Email account: eciochina.av@gmail.com
ORCID ID: 0000-0001-7852-8567

Abstract: The Republic of Moldova has recently embarked on a pivotal legislative journey to refine its Law on Competition. This initiative, taken a decade after the law's inception, primarily aims at harmonizing with corresponding EU directives to foster a more competitive market landscape. This research seeks to critically analyze the main facets of these proposed amendments. Key areas of focus include the revision of thresholds for economic concentration notifications, modifications in the determination of dominant market positions, and the introduction of globally-oriented terms like "total global turnover" for precise fine calculations. Additionally, while these reforms intend to create a market founded on transparency and predictability, certain provisions have sparked reservations among business associations. They highlight potential implications of swiftly aligning certain EU provisions with national legislation and the conceivable repercussions on the business milieu. The study aims to dissect these concerns, providing an analytical overview of the challenges and implications the proposed changes might bring to the Moldovan economic framework.

Keywords: Moldova, Law on Competition, EU directives, market competition, legislative amendments, economic concentration

JEL Classification: K21, K33, F15

Introduction

The modernization of competition law represents a cardinal pillar in fostering economic growth, safeguarding consumer interests, and promoting innovation and entrepreneurship. This article meticulously scrutinizes the transformative amendments introduced in the Republic of Moldova's competition legal framework, as embodied in Law no. 199 din 25-07-2023 on amending the law on competition. This pivotal legislation heralds a new epoch in Republic Moldova's commitment to aligning its competition policies and regulations with contemporary global standards, particularly those of the European Union (EU). A systematic exploration of these legislative advancements reveals an ambitious attempt to bolster the efficacy of competition regulation mechanisms, enhance transparency, and ensure adherence to principles that champion fair competition.

Anchored in comprehensive legal analysis, this discourse aims to unveil the nuanced contours of the amended legislation, discerning its key provisions, innovations, and strategic alignments with EU directives. The discourse will navigate through the intricacies of enhanced institutional powers, refined economic concentration regulations, stricter anti-cartel measures, and the assimilation of

technological advancements within procedural realms. It will also entail a comparative exploration, drawing parallels and distinctions between Moldovan reforms and EU competition law directives and practices, thus unveiling the implications and challenges emanating from such harmonization efforts.

In a bid to provide a holistic perspective, this article will also delve into the anticipatory impacts of these amendments on market competition within Moldova, encapsulating insights on stakeholder perspectives and responses. Conclusively, the article will synthesize the key findings and project forward trajectories, envisaging the potential landscapes and implications of Moldova’s evolved competition law framework on the broader economic vista.

PRE-AMENDMENT JURISPRUDENTIAL TERRAIN: A Legal Cartography

Before engaging in a critical analysis of the recent legislative amendments, it is imperative to meticulously map the jurisprudential terrain as shaped by Law No. 183 of July 11, 2012, on Competition. This pivotal statute crystallized the fundamental doctrines and regulatory scaffolds that delineated the contours of market competition in the Republic of Moldova, marking a monumental cornerstone in the architectural integrity of the nation’s competition law jurisprudence.

The statutory tapestry of Law No. 183 was meticulously woven with a vision to cultivate a competitive ambiance, marked by the hallmarks of fairness, efficacy, and transparent integrity. It bore the legislative intent to curtail anti-competitive practices, enhance consumer welfare, and inaugurate an economic ecosystem flourishing under the aegis of equitable legal norms. The legal provisions within this statute were meticulously articulated, emanating clear directives regarding various critical dimensions such as economic concentrations, the ascendancy in market positions, and the proscription of monopolistic practices and unfair competition.

A. Economic Concentrations:

The Law on Competition exemplified legislative acumen in the articulation of provisions that proactively supervised, regulated, and when essential, inaugurated interventions in substantial economic amalgamations to forestall the perversion of competitive integrity. It illuminated the thresholds and criteria that invoked obligatory notifications and concomitant evaluations by the custodians of competition regulations.

B. Dominant Market Positions:

The legislative wisdom was prominently manifested in the law’s strategic focus on forestalling the exploitation inherent in the abuse of dominant market echelons. It ushered in a legal architecture that meticulously defined market dominance and established juridical boundaries, restraining entities from leveraging dominant positions to the detriment of consumer interests or competitive vitality.

C. Monopolistic Practices and Unfair Competition:

The legislation emanated robust legal resiliency by meticulously delineating the precincts of acceptable commercial conduct, instituting legal recourse and punitive frameworks to dissuade enterprises from indulging in practices inimical to the ethos of competition and consumer well-being.

D. Regulatory Oversight and Enforcement:

The Law No. 183 on Competition was emblematic of a refined approach towards regulatory enforcement, clarifying the demarcation of authoritative responsibilities and prerogatives vested in the competition arbiters, and inaugurating structured protocols for the investigative and adjudicative processes, as well as for the promulgation of sanctions in instances of statutory infringements.

This reflective exploration of the antecedent legislative framework furnishes a foundational bedrock that facilitates a profound appreciation of the intrinsic motivations and the consequential significance emblematic of the new legal formulations introduced by Law No. 199 of July 25, 2023. It substantiates a nuanced comprehension of the legislative evolution, perceptibly demarcating the continuities and transitional shifts in legal philosophies, policy orientations, and regulatory stratagems pivotal to the progressive trajectory of Moldova’s competition law paradigm.

ANALYZING THE EVOLUTION: LEGISLATIVE MODIFICATIONS EXPLORED

The legislation marks a significant milestone in the evolution of competition law within the Republic of Moldova. Built upon comprehensive considerations and deliberative strategies, the revised law is an epitome of the country’s enhanced commitment to fostering a competitive, transparent, and consumer-oriented market landscape.

a. Key Provisions and Innovations:

- *Increased Powers to the Competition Council:* A notable innovation is the empowerment of the Competition Council, providing it with increased authority to protect fair competition, emphasizing a stronger institutional role in safeguarding competitive integrity.
- *Stricter Anti-Cartel Measures:* A decisive stride has been made towards inhibiting anti-competitive agreements. An explicit prohibition is now imposed on exceptions for anticompetitive agreements manifested through cartel agreements.
- *Refined Economic Concentration Regulations:* A meticulous approach has been adopted in the law towards regulating economic concentrations. Amendments propose an enhancement in the total turnover amount that enterprises involved in operations must achieve cumulatively.
- *Enhanced Fine Structures:* The amendments herald a transformation in the sanctioning of violations. The maximum threshold for fines has been increased, echoing the provisions established in EU legislation.

b. Alignment with EU Directives:

- *Harmonization and Adaptation:* The law embodies harmony with EU standards, especially with respect to sanctions and the administrative appeal process. This alignment with EU directives illustrates a synergistic adoption of international best practices.
- *Operational Independence:* A significant stride has been made towards ensuring the operational independence of the competition authority, safeguarding it from potential external or political interferences that could compromise impartiality.

- *Streamlined Appeal Processes:* Innovations include the streamlining of legal processes involved in the contestation of administrative acts, ensuring more efficient and expeditious legal proceedings.
- *Enhanced Cooperation:* Provisions have been enhanced to facilitate better cooperation between the Competition Council and other competition authorities, especially within EU member states.

c. Futuristic and Technological Adaptations:

- *Technological Incorporation:* Embracing technological advancements, provisions for remote hearings through electronic devices, teleconferencing, or videoconferencing have been incorporated.
- *Increased Transparency and Collaboration:* The amendments underscore a commitment towards increased transparency and collaboration, fostering a conducive environment for inter-authority cooperation and public consultation.

Law No. 199 from 25-07-2023 manifests as a transformative legislation, delineated with profound clarity, precision, and a vision for fostering a competitive market landscape that resonates with integrity, consumer welfare, and harmonization with international standards and best practices. Its provisions echo a strategic foresight, adaptable dynamism, and a robust alignment with the foundational ethos of competitive fairness and consumer-centric considerations.

UNPACKING THE REVISED LEGAL FRAMEWORK

Navigating through the labyrinth of legislative amendments requires a precise analytical lens, ensuring that each nuance is meticulously unpacked and critically evaluated.

a. Critical Evaluation of New Provisions:

- *Enhanced Powers of the Competition Council:* The decision to augment the powers of the Competition Council elucidates a strengthened institutional approach towards safeguarding market competition. However, this enhancement mandates a corresponding increase in accountability and transparency mechanisms to ensure a balanced exercise of power.
- *Stringent Anti-Cartel Measures:* The unequivocal prohibition against exceptions for anti-competitive agreements manifested through cartels signifies a resolute stance against market malpractices. It enshrines a legal intolerance towards practices that undermine market integrity and consumer welfare.
- *Revamped Economic Concentration Regulations:* The modifications pertaining to the regulation of economic concentrations seem meticulously crafted to nurture a balanced market ecosystem, preventing disproportionate accumulation of market power. However, constant evaluation is essential to ascertain that these regulations do not inadvertently stifle market innovation and entrepreneurship.

b. Interpretative Insights and Legal Analysis:

- *Alignment with EU Directives:* The law's alignment with EU directives illustrates an intentional harmonization with global best practices. It fosters a legislative environment conducive to international cooperation and facilitative of a more universally resonant legal framework.
- *Operational Independence of Authorities:* The amendments seem to emphasize securing operational independence, minimizing vulnerabilities to external or political interferences. This likely aims to enshrine a culture of impartiality and objectivity within the competition regulatory framework.
- *Technological Adaptation:* The law's openness to incorporating technological advancements, such as provisions for remote hearings, symbolizes a modernized legal approach, resonating with contemporary needs and global advancements.

The law appears carefully calibrated to nurture a harmonized, fair, and consumer-centric market environment, echoing with global resonances and modern adaptabilities. However, continuous assessment and adaptive responsiveness are essential to ensure that the legislation perpetually aligns with the evolving market realities and international best practices.

HARMONIZATION WITH EU DIRECTIVES: A STRATEGIC PERSPECTIVE

Comparative Analysis: Republic of Moldova and European Union

In dissecting the strategic harmonization of Moldovan competition law with EU directives, a meticulous comparative analysis becomes imperative. One must delve into the specific statutes, regulatory frameworks, and overarching philosophies guiding competition law in both jurisdictions to discern the nuances and trajectories of legal evolution.

- ✓ *Anti-Competitive Agreements and Cartels:*
 - **EU Directives:** EU competition law, particularly encapsulated in Articles 101 and 102 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), profoundly focuses on prohibiting anti-competitive agreements, cartels, and abusive behavior by companies in a dominant position.
 - **Moldovan Law:** The recent amendments express a robust stance against cartels and anti-competitive agreements, aligning with the EU's emphasis on rooting out practices detrimental to market competition and consumer interests. A comprehensive overview and analysis of the recent amendments in Moldova's competition law are available for review in a detailed article published by Realitatea.md (Realitatea.md, 2023)
- ✓ *Regulation of Economic Concentrations:*
 - **EU Directives:** The EU's Merger Regulation underscores a comprehensive framework that scrutinizes mergers and acquisitions that may significantly impede effective competition. Montag's discourse on the substantive tests in EC merger regulations offers significant insights crucial for understanding the evolution and application of these regulations (Montag, 2002).
 - **Moldovan Law:** With modifications fostering nuanced regulations on economic concentrations, Moldova's legal architecture begins to resonate with the EU's meticulous approach towards maintaining market diversity and preventing monopolistic dominances.

Implications of harmonization with EU standards: an analytical exploration

In a landscape influenced by globalization and regional partnerships, Moldova’s strategic alignment with European Union (EU) competition standards marks a crucial legislative transformation. This initiative aims to bolster Moldova’s global legal standing, enhancing prospects for foreign investment and deeper multilateral collaborations.

Central to this alignment is the enhancement of legal clarity and consistency. Adhering to EU directives, Moldova cultivates a legal climate characterized by transparency, predictability, and standardized interpretations of competition laws, thereby fostering a resilient and dependable business environment in line with international norms.

Additionally, consumer protection is emphasized, reflecting the robust frameworks of the EU. This alignment aims to bolster defenses against unfair market practices, ensuring a higher degree of consumer welfare through enhanced legal safeguards.

However, this path to harmonization brings inherent challenges, requiring careful adaptation of EU directives to resonate with Moldova’s specific socio-economic dynamics. The alignment must transcend mere legal transposition, embodying a genuine harmonization that integrates international norms with domestic relevance and applicability.

For deeper insights into the intricacies of EU influences on competition laws, the scholarly contributions of Jones and Sufrin provide valuable perspectives, offering enriched understanding and context (Jones & Sufrin, 2019).

Ultimately, this harmonization symbolizes a thoughtful progression, inviting opportunities for international collaboration and legal fortification. However, it also calls for ongoing evaluation and refinement to ensure that the integrated standards maintain their relevance and effectiveness within Moldova’s unique legal and economic landscapes.

CHALLENGES AND IMPLICATIONS

A. Anticipated Impact on Market Competition

The reinterpretation of competition laws manifests an array of intricate complexities that deeply permeate market structures, competitive dynamics, and a multitude of stakeholder interests. Analyzing these subtle nuances is quintessential for understanding the potential ramifications on the landscape of market competition.

- **Market Accessibility and Fair Competition:**

In alignment with global norms such as the Sherman Act in the United States and Articles 101 and 102 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), Moldova’s revised competition

law seems to underscore a concerted emphasis on prohibiting anti-competitive behaviors, thereby nurturing the essence of market accessibility and fairness (European Union, n.d.).

- **Dynamic Competition and Innovation:**

Eminent scholars like Eleanor M. Fox have articulated a distinct correlation between the realms of competition law and the flourishing of dynamic, innovative marketplaces (Fox, 2023). Moldova’s legal recalibrations ostensibly aspire to kindle a spark of innovation, mitigating the monopolistic inclinations of dominant market incumbents that potentially stifle competitive vibrancy and the sprouting of innovative startups and Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs).

B. Stakeholder perspectives and responses

- **Business Community:**

Authorities in the field, such as Herbert Hovenkamp, emphasize the salience of striking a delicate equilibrium between the enforcement of robust antitrust stipulations and the nurturing of a fertile ground conducive to business innovation and proliferation (Hovenkamp, 2017). In this context, the business community may discern the legislative modifications as a paradigm of enhanced regulatory oversight, compelling a strategic reevaluation and realignment of operational methodologies to seamlessly dovetail with the emergent legal contours. Comprehensive elucidations regarding antitrust procedural nuances are comprehensively delineated in the formal repositories of the European Commission (European Commission, 2023).

- **Consumer Advocacy:**

The recent legal amendments in Moldova’s competition law manifest a reflective adaptation of contemporary global best practices, resonating with the principled advocacy championed by luminary institutions and individuals such as Beuc and Robert H. Lande, who have steadfastly propagated consumer welfare paradigms within the intricate tapestry of competition law (Beuc, 2015; Lande, 2008). These global insights into consumer protection and market fairness have been discerningly appropriated within the Moldovan legal context, fostering a legislative environment more attuned to the mitigation of anti-competitive market manipulations and the prioritization of consumer interests.

Moldova's recent reforms signal a shift towards aligning its legislative mechanisms with European Union standards and global best practices, emphasizing a consumer-focused approach. This transformation underscores Moldova’s dedication to promoting a fair competitive environment that nurtures consumer welfare, demonstrating a thoughtful appreciation of the extensive economic impacts of competition law and policies.

- **Legal and Academic Community:**

Respected jurists and academic scholars, like Richard Whish and David Bailey, who have bestowed seminal contributions to the scholarship of competition law, are poised to proffer incisive analytical

critiques, evaluations, and interpretational guidance pertaining to the nuances of the legal amendments (Whish & Bailey, 2018).

Navigating the legislative amendments requires consistent engagement with international best practices, scholarly insights, and various stakeholder perspectives. This amalgamation of diverse insights enhances the competition law’s robustness and adaptability, ensuring its alignment with current market justice, innovation, and consumer welfare standards.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Our investigative journey into Moldova’s amended competition law unfolds a meticulously crafted pathway towards fostering robust, dynamic, and equitable competitive practices within the market. The comprehensive review unravels a refined regulatory architecture, adeptly harmonized with the prevailing EU directives, demonstrating a steadfast commitment to nurturing an environment ripe for business innovation and consumer welfare.

The revised statutes resoundingly amplify the emphasis on eradicating anti-competitive practices, such as cartels and abusive dominance. This recalibration is emblematic of an alignment with international legal benchmarks, ensuring the upholding of fair competition as a cardinal principle.

A discernible synchronization with EU competition norms is evident in the amendments, illustrating a strategic enhancement in Moldova’s legal apparatus. This alignment heralds a nuanced integration with the European market principles, embodying shared regulatory philosophies and practices.

Endowed with augmented authorities, the Competition Council is better equipped to safeguard market competitiveness, ensuring the integrity of various market segments is meticulously maintained. The legal refurbishments are poised to rejuvenate the market dynamics, curtailing monopolistic tendencies and fostering an environment that is conducive to innovation and entrepreneurial vigor. The evolutionary trajectory of Moldova’s competition law opens avenues for enhanced integration and cooperative synergies with European markets, bolstered by aligned regulatory principles and frameworks.

REFERENCES:

- Beuc. (2015). The Role of Competition Policy in Protecting Consumer Welfare. BEUC Reports. Available at: <<https://www.beuc.eu/reports/role-competition-policy-protecting-consumers-well-being-digital-era>> [13 October 2023].
- European Commission. (2023). Competition: Antitrust Procedures. Available at: <https://ec.europa.eu/competition/antitrust/procedures_102_en.html> [Accessed 13 October 2023].
- European Union. (n.d.a). Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), Articles 101 and 102. Available at: <<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex%3A12012E%2FTXT>> [Accessed 13 October 2023].
- European Union. (n.d.b). Directive 2014/104/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 November 2014. Available at: <<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex%3A32014L0104>> [Accessed 13 October 2023].
- Fox, E. M. (2017). EU competition law: cases, texts and context. Cheltenham, UK; Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar Publishing. Available at: <<https://www.e-elgar.com/shop/gbp/eu-competition-law-9781839104688.html>> [13 October 2023].
- Hovenkamp, H. (2008). The Antitrust Enterprise: Principle and Execution. Harvard University Press.

**ANNUAL INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC CONFERENCE “COMPETITIVENESS AND
INNOVATION IN THE KNOWLEDGE ECONOMY”
September 22nd-23th, 2023, Chisinau, Republic of Moldova**

- Jones, A., & Sufrin, B. (2019). *EU Competition Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*. (6th ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lande, R. H. (2008). *Consumer Choice as the Ultimate Goal of Antitrust*. University of Baltimore Legal Studies Research Paper.
- Montag, F. (2002). 'The New Substantive Test in the EC Merger Regulation', *Common Market Law Review*, 39, pp. 35-54.
- Realitatea.md. (2023). *Legea concurenței, revizuită. Principalele modificări aprobate de Parlament*. Available at: <<http://www.realitatea.md>> [Accessed 13 October 2023].
- Republic of Moldova. (2012). Law No.183 from 11.07.2012 on Competition. Available at: <https://www.legis.md/cautare/getResults?doc_id=139070&lang=ro#> [Accessed 13 October 2023].
- Republic of Moldova. (2023). Law No.199 from 25-07-2023, on Modifying Law on Competition. Available at: <https://www.legis.md/cautare/getResults?doc_id=138681&lang=ro> [Accessed 13 October 2023].
- Whish, R., & Bailey, D. (2018). *Competition Law*. (9th ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Wils, W.P.J. (2010). 'Ten Years of Regulation 1/2003 - A Retrospective', *World Competition: Law and Economics Review*, 33(4), pp. 675-682.