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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concepts of $\phi$ - 2 -absorbing primary subsemimodules over commutative semirings. Let $R$ be a commutative semiring with identity and $M$ be an $R$-semimodule. Let $\phi: S(M) \longrightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function, where $S(M)$ is the set of subsemimodules of $M$. A proper subsemimodule $N$ of $M$ is said to be a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ if $r s x \in N \backslash \phi(N)$ implies $r x \in N$ or $s x \in N$ or $r s \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$, where $r, s \in R$ and $x \in M$. We prove some basic properties of these subsemimodules, give a characterization of $\phi$ - 2 -absorbing primary subsemimodules, and investigate $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodules of quotient semimodules.


## 1. Introduction

In 2007, the concept of 2-absorbing ideals of rings was introducted by Badawi [3]. He defined a 2-absorbing ideal $I$ of a commutative ring $R$ to be a proper ideal and if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ with $a b c \in I$, then $a b \in I$ or $a c \in I$ or $b c \in I$. Later in 2011 [7], Darani and Soheilnia introduced the concept of 2 -absorbing submodules and studied their properties. A proper submodule $N$ of an $R$-module $M$ is said to be a 2 -absorbing submodule of $M$ if $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $a b m \in N$, then $a m \in N$ or $b m \in N$ or $a b \in(N: M)$.

In 2012, Chaudhari introduced the concept of 2-absorbing ideals of a commutative semiring in [6]. He defined a 2 -absorbing ideal $I$ of a commutative semiring $R$ to be a proper ideal and if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ with $a b c \in I$, then $a b \in I$ or $a c \in I$ or $b c \in I$. In the same year, Thongsomnuk

[^0]introduced the concept of 2 -absorbing subsemimodules over commutative semirings as a proper subsemimodule $N$ of an $R$-semimodule $M$ such that if whenever $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $a b m \in N$, then $a m \in N$ or $b m \in N$ or $a b \in(N: M)$. The concept of 2-absorbing ideals of commutative semirings and 2 -absorbing subsemimodules has been widely recognized by several mathematicians, see [8] and [11].

Atani and Kohan (2010) introduced and examined the concept of primary ideals in a commutative semiring, as well as primary subsemimodules in semimodules over a commutative semiring (see [5]). They defined a primary ideal $I$ of a commutative semiring $R$ as a proper ideal, such that whenever $a, b \in R$ with $a b \in I$, then $a \in I$ or $b^{k} \in I$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly, a primary subsemimodule $N$ of an $R$-semimodule $M$ is defined as a proper subsemimodule, such that whenever $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $a m \in N$, then $m \in N$ or $a^{k} \in(N: M)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In 2015, Dubey and Sarohe [9] defined the concept of 2-absorbing primary subsemimodules of a semimodule $M$ over a commutative semiring $R$ with $1 \neq 0$ which is a generalization of primary subsemimodules of semimodules. A proper subsemimodule $N$ of a semimodule $M$ is said to be a 2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ if $a b m \in N$ implies $a b \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$ or $a m \in N$ or $b m \in N$ for some $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$.

Anderson and Batanieh (2008) generalized the concept of prime ideals, weakly prime ideals, almost prime ideals, $n$-almost prime ideals and $\omega$ prime ideals of rings to $\phi$-prime ideals of rings with $\phi$, see in [1]. They defined a $\phi$-prime ideal $I$ of a ring $R$ with $\phi$ be a proper ideal and if for $a, b \in R, a b \in I \backslash \phi(I)$ implies $a \in I$ or $b \in I$. Later in 2016, Petchkaew, Wasanawichit and Pianskool [13] introduced the concept of $\phi-n$-absorbing ideals which are a generalization of $n$-absorbing ideals. A proper ideal $I$ of $R$ is called a $\phi$-n-absorbing ideal if whenever $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in I \backslash \phi(I)$ for $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n+1} \in R$, then $x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{i-1} x_{i+1} \ldots x_{n+1} \in I$ for some $i \in$ $\{1,2, \ldots, n+1\}$. In 2017, Moradi and Ebrahimpour [12] introduced the concept of $\phi$-2-absorbing primary and $\phi$-2-absorbing primary submodules. Let $\phi: S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function, where $S(M)$ is the set of $R$-module $M$. They said that a proper submodule $N$ of $M$ is a $\phi-2$ absorbing primary submodule if $r s x \in N \backslash \phi(N)$ implies $r x \in N$, or $s x \in N$, or $r s \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$, where $r, s \in R$ and $x \in M$.

In this paper, we extend the concepts of $\phi$-2-absorbing primary submodules over commutative rings to the concepts of $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodules over commutative semirings. We explore fundamental prop-
erties of these subsemimodules, provide a characterization of $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodules, and investigate $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodules of quotient semimodules.

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [10] Let $R$ be a semiring. A left $R$-semimodule (or a left semimodule over $R$ ) is a commutative monoid $(M,+)$ with additive identity $0_{M}$ for which a function $R \times M \rightarrow M$, denoted by $(r, m) \mapsto r m$ and called the scalar multiplication, satisfies the following conditions for all elements $r$ and $r^{\prime}$ of $R$ and all elements $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ of $M$ :
(1) $\left(r r^{\prime}\right) m=r\left(r^{\prime} m\right)$,
(2) $r\left(m+m^{\prime}\right)=r m+r m^{\prime}$,
(3) $\left(r+r^{\prime}\right) m=r m+r^{\prime} m$,
(4) $1_{R} m=m$, and
(5) $r 0_{M}=0_{M}=0_{R} m$.

Throughout this paper, we assume that $R$ is a commutative semirings identity $1 \neq 0$ and a left $R$-semimodule will be considered as a unitary semimodule.

Definition 2.2. [10] Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule and $N$ a subset of $M$. We say $N$ is a subsemimodule of $M$ precisely when $N$ is itself an $R$-semimodule with respect to the operations for $M$.

Definition 2.3. [5] Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule, $N$ a subsemimodule of $M$, and $m \in M$. Then an associated ideal of $N$ is denoted as
$(N: M)=\{r \in R \mid r M \subseteq N\}$ and $(N: m)=\{r \in R \mid r m \in N\}$.
Definition 2.4. [5] An ideal $I$ of a semiring $R$ is called a subtractive ideal if $a, a+b \in I$ and $b \in R$, then $b \in I$.

A subsemimodule $N$ of an $R$-semimodule $M$ is called a subtractive subsemimodule if $x, x+y \in N$ and $y \in M$, then $y \in N$.

Proposition 2.5. [5] Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule. If $N$ is a subtractive subsemimodule of $M$ and $m \in M$, then $(N: M)$ and $(N: m)$ are subtractive ideals of $R$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $(N: M)$ be a subtractive ideal of $R$. If $a \in(N: M)$ and $a+b \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$, then $b \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$.
Proof. Assume that $a \in(N: M)$ and $a+b \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$. There exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(a+b)^{k} \in(N: M)$. Then $\sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{k}{i} a^{k-i} b^{i} \in(N: M)$. Since $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{i} a^{k-i} b^{i} \in(N: M)$ and $(N: M)$ is a subtractive ideal, we obtain $b^{k} \in(N: M)$. Thus, $b \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$.

Definition 2.7. [12] Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule. We define the functions $\phi_{\alpha}: S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ as follows: $\phi_{0}(N)=0, \phi_{\emptyset}(N)=\emptyset, \phi_{m+1}(N)=$ $(N: M)^{m} N$ for every $m \geqslant 0$ and $\phi_{\omega}(N)=\bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty}(N: M)^{m} N$, where $N$ is a subsemimodule of $M$ and $S(M)$ is the set of subsemimodules of $M$.

Definition 2.8. [12] Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule, $S(M)$ the set of subsemimodules of $M$ and let $f_{1}, f_{2}: S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be two functions. Then $f_{1} \leqslant f_{2}$ if $f_{1}(N) \subseteq f_{2}(N)$ for all $N \in S(M)$.

Definition 2.9. [2] A subsemimodule $N$ of an $R$-semimodule $M$ is called a partitioning subsemimodule(or $Q$-subsemimodule) if there exists a nonempty subset $Q$ of $M$ such that

1. $R Q \subseteq Q$ where $R Q=\{r q \mid r \in R$ and $q \in Q\}$,
2. $M=\cup\{q+N \mid q \in Q\}$ where $q+N=\{q+n \mid n \in N\}$, and
3. if $q_{1}, q_{2} \in Q$, then $\left(q_{1}+N\right) \cap\left(q_{2}+N\right) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $q_{1}=q_{2}$.

Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule and $N$ a $Q$-subsemimodule of $M$. Let $M / N_{(Q)}=\{q+N \mid q \in Q\}$. Then $M / N_{(Q)}$ is a semimodule over $R$ under the addition $\oplus$ and the scalar multiplication $\odot$ defined as follow: for any $q_{1}, q_{2}, q \in Q$ and $r \in R,\left(q_{1}+N\right) \oplus\left(q_{2}+N\right)=q_{3}+N$ and $r \odot(q+N)=q_{4}+N$ where $q_{3}, q_{4} \in Q$ are the unique elements such that $q_{1}+q_{2}+N \subseteq q_{3}+N$ and $r q+N \subseteq q_{4}+N$. The $R$-semimodule $M / N_{(Q)}$ is called the quotient semimodule of $M$ by $N$.
Lemma 2.10. [4] Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule, $N$ a $Q$-subsemimodule of $M$ and $P$ a subtractive subsemimodule of $M$ with $N \subseteq P$. Then the followings hold:

1. $N$ is a $Q \cap P$-subsemimodule of $P$.
2. $P / N_{(Q \cap P)}=\{q+N \mid q \in Q \cap P\}$ is a subsemimodule of $M / N_{(Q)}$.

Remark 2.11. The zero element of $P / N_{Q \cap P}$ is the same as the zero element of $M / N_{(Q)}$ which is $0_{M}+N$.

## 3. $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodules

In this section, we investigate the $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodules over commutative semirings. Initially, we introduce a novel definition for $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodules. Subsequently, we explore various properties of $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodules.

Definition 3.1. Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule, $\phi: S(M) \longrightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ a function, where $S(M)$ is the set of subsemimodules of $M$. We say a proper subsemimodule $N$ of $M$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule if whenever $r s x \in N \backslash \phi(N)$ implies $r x \in N$, or $s x \in N$, or $r s \in \sqrt{(N: M)}=$ $\left\{a \in R \mid a^{n} M \subseteq N\right.$ for some $\left.n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, where $r, s \in R$ and $x \in M$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule, $N$ a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ and $K$ be a subsemimodule of $M$ such that $\phi(N \cap K)=$ $\phi(N)$. Then $N \cap K$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $K$.

Proof. Clearly, $N \cap K$ is a proper subsemimodule of $K$. Let $r s x \in(N \cap K) \backslash$ $\phi(N \cap K)$ where $r, s \in R$ and $x \in K$. We have $r s x \in N \backslash \phi(N \cap K)$. Thus, $r s x \in N \backslash \phi(N)$ because $\phi(N \cap K)=\phi(N)$. Since $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$, we obtain $r x \in N$, or $s x \in N$, or $r s \in$ $\sqrt{(N: M)}$. If $r x \in N$ or $s x \in N$, then $r x \in N \cap K$ or $s x \in N \cap K$ because $x \in K$ and $K$ is an $R$-semimodule. If $r s \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$, then $(r s)^{n} M \subseteq N$ for some positive integer $n$. In particular, $(r s)^{n} K \subseteq(r s)^{n} M \subseteq N$ and we know that $(r s)^{n} K \subseteq K$. Then $(r s)^{n} K \subseteq N \cap K$ for some positive integer $n$. Thus, $r s \in \sqrt{(N \cap K: K)}$. Hence $N \cap K$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $K$.

Consider the following example. Let $R=\mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}$and $M=\mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}$, where throughout this paper, $\mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}$denotes the set of non-negative integers (including zero). We define the function $\phi: S\left(\mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}\right) \rightarrow S\left(\mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}\right) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ by $\phi(A)=\{0\}$ where $A \in S\left(\mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}\right)$. Clearly, $8 \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}$is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $\mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}$and $m \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}$is a subsemimodule of $\mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}$where $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}$. We see that $\phi\left(8 \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+} \cap m \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}\right)=\{0\}=\phi\left(8 \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}\right)$. Then $8 \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+} \cap m \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}=[8, m] \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}$is a $\phi-2-$ absorbing primary subsemimodule of $m \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}$. This example demonstrates the concept outlined in Theorem 3.13.

Theorem 3.3. Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule, $\phi: S(M) \longrightarrow S(M) \cup\{\phi\} a$ function, and let $N$ be a proper subsemimodule of $M$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$.
2. For every $r \in R$ and $x \in M$ with $r x \notin N$,

$$
(N: r x) \subseteq(\sqrt{(N: M)}: r) \cup(N: x) \cup(\phi(N): r x) .
$$

Proof. First, let $a \in(N: r x)$. Then $\operatorname{ar} x \in N$. If $\operatorname{ar} x \in \phi(N)$, then $a \in(\phi(N): r x)$. If $\operatorname{ar} x \notin \phi(N)$, then $\operatorname{ar} x \in N \backslash \phi(N)$. Since $N$ is a $\phi-2$ absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ and $r x \notin N$, we have $a x \in N$ or $a \in(\sqrt{(N: M)}: r)$. Hence $(N: r x) \subseteq(\sqrt{(N: M)}: r) \cup(N: x) \cup(\phi(N):$ $r x)$.

Conversely, let $r, s \in R$ and $x \in M$ with $r s x \in N \backslash \phi(N)$ and $r x \notin N$. Since $r s x \in N$ and $r s x \notin \phi(N)$, we obtain $s \in(N: r x)$ and $s \notin(\phi(N)$ : $r x)$. From $(N: r x) \subseteq(\sqrt{(N: M)}: r) \cup(N: x) \cup(\phi(N): r x)$. Thus, $s \in(\sqrt{(N: M)}: r)$ or $s \in(N: x)$. Hence, $s r \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$ or $s x \in N$. Therefore, $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$.

Moradi and Ebrahimpour [12] introduced the definition of $\phi$-triple-zero within the context of submodules. In this work, we will extend and adapt this definition to apply specifically to subsemimodules.

Definition 3.4. Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule, and $\phi: S(M) \longrightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ a function. Assume that $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M, r, s \in R$ and $x \in M$. We say $(r, s, x)$ is a $\phi$-triple-zero of $N$ if $r s x \in \phi(N), r x, s x \notin N$ and $r s \notin \sqrt{(N: M)}$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule, $\phi: S(M) \longrightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ a function, and let $N$ be a subtractive subsemimodule of $M$ such that $\phi(N) \subseteq$ $N$. Assume that $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ and $(r, s, x)$ is a $\phi$-triple-zero of $N$. Then the following statements hold:

1. $r(N: M) x \subseteq \phi(N)$ and $s(N: M) x \subseteq \phi(N)$.
2. $(N: M)^{2} x \subseteq \phi(N)$.
3. $r s N \subseteq \phi(N)$.
4. $r(N: M) N \subseteq \phi(N)$ and $s(N: M) N \subseteq \phi(N)$.

Proof. (1). Suppose that there exists $t \in(N: M)$ such that $r t x \notin \phi(N)$. Since $(r, s, x)$ is a $\phi$-triple-zero of $N$, we have $r s x \in \phi(N)$. So, $r(s+t) x=$ $r s x+r t x \notin \phi(N)$. Since $\phi(N) \subseteq N$, we obtain $r(s+t) x \in N \backslash \phi(N)$.

Since $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ and $r x, s x \notin N$, we have $r(t+s) \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$. By Lemma 2.6 and $r t \in(N: M)$, we have $r s \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$, which is a contradiction with $\phi$-triple-zero of $N$. Therefore, $r(N: M) x \subseteq \phi(N)$. Similarly, $s(N: M) x \subseteq \phi(N)$.
(2). Suppose that there exists $t, k \in(N: M)$ such that $t k x \notin \phi(N)$. Since $(r, s, x)$ is a $\phi$-triple-zero of $N$, we have $r s x \in \phi(N)$. By part (1), we have $s t x, r k x \in \phi(N)$. Thus, $(t+r)(k+s) x \notin \phi(N)$. Then $(t+r)(k+s) x \in$ $N \backslash \phi(N)$. Since $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ and $r x, s x \notin N$, we have $(t+r)(k+s) \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$. By Lemma 2.6, we obtain $r s \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$, which is a contradiction with $\phi$-triple-zero of $N$. Hence, $(N: M)^{2} x \subseteq \phi(N)$.
(3). Suppose that there exists $y \in N$ such that $r s y \notin \phi(N)$. Since $(r, s, x)$ is a $\phi$-triple-zero of $N$, we have $r s x \in \phi(N)$. So, $r s(x+y) \notin \phi(N)$. Then $r s(x+y) \in N \backslash \phi(N)$ because $\phi(N) \subseteq N$. Since $N$ is a $\phi-2$-absorbing primary subsemimodule, $r(x+y) \in N$ or $s(x+y) \in N$ or $r s \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$. Since $N$ is a subtractive subsemimodule and $y \in N$, we obtain $r x \in N$ or $s x \in N$ or $r s \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$, which is a contradiction with $\phi$-triple-zero of $N$. Therefore, $r s N \subseteq \phi(N)$.
(4). Suppose that there exists $t \in(N: M)$ and $y \in N$ such that $r t y \notin \phi(N)$. Since $(r, s, x)$ is a $\phi$-triple-zero of $N$, we obtain $r s x \in \phi(N)$. By parts (1) and (3), we have $r t x, r s y \in \phi(N)$. So, $r(s+t)(x+y) \notin \phi(N)$. Since $\phi(N) \subseteq N$ and $y \in N$, we get $r(s+t)(x+y) \in N \backslash \phi(N)$. Since $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule, $r(x+y) \in N$ or $(s+t)(x+y) \in N$ or $r(s+t) \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$. Since $N$ is a subtractive subsemimodule and Lemma 2.6, we have $r x \in N$ or $s x \in N$ or $r s \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$, which is a contradiction with $\phi$-triple-zero of $N$. Hence, $r(N: M) N \subseteq \phi(N)$. Similarly, $s(N$ : $M) N \subseteq \phi(N)$.

Corollary 3.6. Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule, $\phi: S(M) \longrightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\} a$ function, and let $N$ be a subtractive subsemimodule of $M$ such that $\phi(N) \subseteq$ $N$. Assume that $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ and is not a 2-absorbing primary subsemimodule. Then $(N: M)^{2} N \subseteq \phi(N)$.

Proof. Since $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ and is not a 2 -absorbing primary subsemimodule, we have $(r, s, x)$ is a $\phi$-triplezero of $N$. Assume that $t, k \in(N: M), y \in N$ and tky $\notin \phi(N)$. So, $t k y \in N \backslash \phi(N)$. Consider $(r+t)(s+k)(x+y) \notin \phi(N)$ because $N$ is a $\phi-$ triple zero and Theorem 3.5 and $\phi(N) \subseteq N$ is subtractive subsemimodule. Then $(r+t)(s+k)(x+y) \in N \backslash \phi(N)$. Since $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary
subsemimodule, we have $(r+t)(x+y) \in N$ or $(s+k)(x+y) \in N$ or $(r+t)(s+k) \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$. Since $N$ is a subtractive subsemimodule and Lemma 2.6, we have $r x \in N$ or $s x \in N$ or $r s \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$, which is a contradiction with $\phi$-triple-zero of $N$. Therefore, $(N: M)^{2} N \subseteq \phi(N)$.

To illustrate Theorem 3.16(3), consider the following example. We define a function $\phi: S\left(\mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}\right) \rightarrow S\left(\mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}\right) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ by $\phi(A)=2 A$ where $A \in S\left(\mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}\right)$. In this context, $15 \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}$is demonstrably a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule and a subtractive subsemimodule of $\mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}$. Interestingly, $30 \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}=$ $\phi\left(15 \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}\right) \subseteq 15 \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}$. Furthermore, the triplet $(3,10,2)$ qualifies as a $\phi$-triplezero of $15 \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}$. In this case, $(3 \cdot 10) \cdot 15 \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}=450 \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+} \subseteq 30 \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{+}$, which aligns with the concept outlined in Theorem 3.16(3).

In 2017, the concept of weakly $\phi$-2-absorbing primary submodules was introduced by Moradi and Ebrahimpour [12]. In the current study, we will extend this idea and provide a definition for weakly $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodules.

Definition 3.7. Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule, $\phi: S(M) \rightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function, where $S(M)$ is the set of $R$-module $M$. They said that a proper submodule $N$ of $M$ is a weakly $\phi$-2-absorbing primary submodule if $0 \neq r s x \in N \backslash \phi(N)$ implies $r x \in N$, or $s x \in N$, or $r s \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$, where $r, s \in R$ and $x \in M$.

Proposition 3.8. Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule, $\phi: S(M) \longrightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\} a$ function, and let $N$ be subtractive subsemimodule of $M$ such that $\phi(N) \subseteq N$ that is not 2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$. If $N$ is a weakly 2absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$, then $(N: M)^{2} N=\{0\}$.

Proof. Assume that $N$ is a weakly 2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ but $N$ is not 2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$. Then $N$ is a $\phi_{0}$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$. By Corollary 3.6, we obtain $(N: M)^{2} N \subseteq \phi_{0}(N)=\{0\}$. Clearly, $\{0\} \subseteq(N: M)^{2} N$. Thus, $(N:$ $M)^{2} N=\{0\}$.

Subsequently, we analyze the function $\phi_{n}$, as defined in Definition 2.7, for cases where $n \leqslant 4$. We also explore the function $\phi_{\omega}$, also defined in Definition 2.7 , which establishes a connection with $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodules.

Proposition 3.9. Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule, $\phi: S(M) \longrightarrow S(M) \cup$ $\{\emptyset\}$ a function, and let $N$ be subtractive subsemimodule of $M$ such that
$\phi(N) \subseteq N$ that is not 2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$. If $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ for some $\phi$ with $\phi \leqslant \phi_{4}$, then $(N: M)^{2} N=(N: M)^{3} N$.

Proof. Assume that $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ with $\phi \leqslant \phi_{4}$ and $N$ is not 2 -absorbing primary subsemimodule. By Corollary 3.6, we obtain $(N: M)^{2} N \subseteq \phi(N)$. Since $\phi \leqslant \phi_{4}$, then $\phi(N) \subseteq \phi_{4}(N)=$ $(N: M)^{3} N$. Now, we have $(N: M)^{2} N \subseteq(N: M)^{3} N$. Since $N$ is an $R$-semimodule, we have $(N: M)^{3} N=(N: M)(N: M)^{2} N \subseteq(N: M)^{2} N$. Therefore, $(N: M)^{2} N=(N: M)^{3} N$.

Corollary 3.10. Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule, $\phi: S(M) \longrightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ a function, and let $N$ be subtractive subsemimodule of $M$ such that $\phi(N) \subseteq N$. If $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ with $\phi \leqslant \phi_{4}$, then $N$ is a $\phi_{\omega}$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$.

Proof. Assume that $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ with $\phi \leqslant \phi_{4}$. It's clear that $N$ is a $\phi_{\omega}$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ if $N$ is a 2-absorbing primary subsemimodule. Now, we consider in case that $N$ is not 2-absorbing primary, then $(N: M)^{2} N=(N: M)^{3} N$, by Proposition 3.9. Since $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ with $\phi \leqslant \phi_{4}$, we have $N$ is $\phi_{4}-2$-absorbing primary. So, $\phi_{\omega}(N)=$ $\bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty}(N: M)^{m} N=(N: M)^{3} N=\phi_{4}$. Thus, $N$ is a $\phi_{\omega}$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$.

Lemma 3.11. Let $N$ be a subtractive $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of an $R$-semimodule $M$ and $a, b \in R$. Suppose that $a b K \subseteq N \backslash \phi(N)$ for some subsemimodule $K$ of $M$. Then $a b \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$ or $a K \subseteq N$ or $b K \subseteq N$.

Proof. Let $a b K \subseteq N \backslash \phi(N)$ for some subsemimodule $K$ of $M$. Assume that $a b \notin \sqrt{(N: M)}, a K \nsubseteq N$ and $b K \nsubseteq N$. Then $a k_{1} \notin N$ and $b k_{2} \notin N$ for some $k_{1}, k_{2} \in K$. Since $a b k_{1} \in N \backslash \phi(N), a b \notin \sqrt{(N: M)}, a k_{1} \notin N$ and $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule, we have $b k_{1} \in N$. Since $a b k_{2} \in N \backslash \phi(N), a b \notin \sqrt{(N: M)}, b k_{2} \notin N$ and $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule, we obtain $a k_{2} \in N$. We know that $a b\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) \in N \backslash \phi(N)$ and $a b \notin \sqrt{(N: M)}$. Since $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule, we have $a\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) \in N$ or $b\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) \in N$. If $a\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) \in N$, then $a k_{1} \in N$ (as $N$ is a subtractive), which is a contradiction. If $b\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) \in N$, then $b k_{2} \in N$ (as $N$ is a subtractive), which is a contradiction. Hence, $a b \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$ or $a K \subseteq N$ or $b K \subseteq N$.

Theorem 3.12. Let $K$ be a subtractive subsemimodule of $M$ and $\sqrt{(K: M)}$ be a subtractive ideal of $R$. If $K$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$, then whenever $I J N \subseteq K \backslash \phi(K)$ for some ideals $I, J$ of $R$ and a subsemimodule $N$ of $M$, then $I J \subseteq \sqrt{(K: M)}$ or $I N \subseteq K$ or $J N \subseteq K$.

Proof. Let $K$ be a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$. Assume that $I J N \subseteq K \backslash \phi(K)$ for some ideals $I, J$ of $R$ and a subsemimodule $N$ of $M$. Suppose that $I J \nsubseteq \sqrt{(K: M)}, I N \nsubseteq K$ and $J N \nsubseteq K$. Then $a_{1} N \nsubseteq K$ and $b_{1} N \nsubseteq K$ for some $a_{1} \in I$ and $b_{1} \in J$. Since $a_{1} b_{1} N \subseteq$ $K \backslash \phi(K), a_{1} N \nsubseteq K, b_{1} N \nsubseteq K$ and Lemma 3.11, we have $a_{1} b_{1} \in \sqrt{(K: M)}$. Since $I J \nsubseteq \sqrt{(K: M)}$, we have $a_{2} b_{2} \notin \sqrt{(K: M)}$ for some $a_{2} \in I$ and $b_{2} \in J$. Since $a_{2} b_{2} N \subseteq K \backslash \phi(K)$ and $a_{2} b_{2} \notin \sqrt{(K: M)}$, we have $a_{2} N \subseteq K$ or $b_{2} N \subseteq K$ by Lemma 3.11. Here three cases arise.

Case I: When $a_{2} N \subseteq K$ but $b_{2} N \nsubseteq K$. Since $a_{1} b_{2} N \subseteq K \backslash \phi(K)$, $b_{2} N \nsubseteq K$ and $a_{1} N \nsubseteq K$, then by Lemma 3.11, $a_{1} b_{2} \in \sqrt{(K: M)}$. We know that $a_{2} N \subseteq K$ but $a_{1} N \nsubseteq K$, so $\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) N \nsubseteq K$ (as $K$ is subtractive). Since $\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) b_{2} N \subseteq K \backslash \phi(K), b_{2} N \nsubseteq K$ and $\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) N \nsubseteq K$, we have $\left(a_{1}+\right.$ $\left.a_{2}\right) b_{2} \in \sqrt{(K: M)}$ by Lemma 3.11. Since $a_{1} b_{2} \in \sqrt{(K: M)}$ and $\sqrt{(K: M)}$ is subtractive, we have $a_{2} b_{2} \in \sqrt{(K: M)}$, which is a contradiction.

Case II: When $b_{2} N \subseteq K$ but $a_{2} N \nsubseteq K$. We can conclude similary to Case I.

Case III: When $a_{2} N \subseteq K$ and $b_{2} N \subseteq K$. Since $b_{2} N \subseteq K$ and $b_{1} N \nsubseteq$ $K$, we have $\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right) N \nsubseteq K$. Since $a_{1}\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right) N \subseteq K \backslash \phi(K),\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right) N \nsubseteq K$ and $a_{1} N \nsubseteq K$, we get that $a_{1}\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right) \in \sqrt{(K: M)}$ by Lemma 3.11. Since $a_{1} b_{1} \in \sqrt{(K: M)}$ and $\sqrt{(K: M)}$ is subtractive, we conclude that $a_{1} b_{2} \in \sqrt{(K: M)}$. Since $a_{2} N \subseteq K, a_{1} N \nsubseteq K$ and $K$ is subtractive implies $\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) N \nsubseteq K$. Since $\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) b_{1} N \subseteq K \backslash \phi(K),\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) N \nsubseteq K$ and $b_{1} N \nsubseteq K$, we have $\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) b_{1} \in \sqrt{(K: M)}$ by Lemma 3.11. Since $a_{1} b_{1} \in$ $\sqrt{(K: M)},\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) b_{1} \in \sqrt{(K: M)}$ and $\sqrt{(K: M)}$ is subtractive, we have $a_{2} b_{1} \in \sqrt{(K: M)}$. Since $\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right) N \subseteq K \backslash \phi(K),\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) N \nsubseteq K$ and $\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right) N \nsubseteq K$, by Lemma 3.11, $\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)\left(b_{1}+b_{2}\right) \in \sqrt{(K: M)}$. Since $a_{2} b_{1}, a_{1} b_{2}, a_{1} b_{1} \in \sqrt{(K: M)}$ and $\sqrt{(K: M)}$ is subtractive, then $a_{2} b_{2} \in$ $\sqrt{(K: M)}$, which is a contradiction.

Hence, $I J \subseteq \sqrt{(K: M)}$ or $I N \subseteq K$ or $J N \subseteq K$.
Theorem 3.13. Let $M$ an $R$-semimodule, and let $\phi: S(M) \longrightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ be a function. Assume that $N$ is a subsemimodule of $M$ such that $\phi(N)$ is a

2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ and $\phi(N) \subseteq N$. Then $N$ is a $\phi$ 2 -absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ if and only if $N$ is a 2 -absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$.

Proof. First, assume that $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ and $\phi(N)$ is a 2 -absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$. Let $r, s \in R$ and $x \in M$ with $r s x \in N$. Suppose that neither $r x$ nor $s x$ is in $N$. Here two cases arise.

Case I: $r s x \in \phi(N)$. Then $r s \in \sqrt{(\phi(N): M)} \subseteq \sqrt{(N: M)}$ because $\phi(N)$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule, $\phi(N) \subseteq N$ and $r x, s x \notin$ $N$.

Case II: $r s x \notin \phi(N)$. Since $N$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule and $r x, s x \notin N$, we obtain $r s \in \sqrt{(N: M)}$.

Conversely, it's clearly.
Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule, $N$ be a $Q$-subsemimodule of $M$. For a function $\phi: S(M) \longrightarrow S(M) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ we define the function $\phi_{N}: S\left(M / N_{(Q)}\right) \longrightarrow$ $S\left(M / N_{(Q)}\right) \cup\{\emptyset\}$ by $\phi_{N}(K / N)=\phi(K) / N_{(\phi(K) \cap Q)}$ if $\phi(K) \neq \emptyset$, and $\phi_{N}(K / N)=\emptyset$ if $\phi(K)=\emptyset$, for every subsemimodule $K$ of $M$ with $N \subseteq K$.

Theorem 3.14. Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule, $N$ a $Q$-subsemimodule of $M$ and $P, \phi(P)$ are subtractive subsemimodules of $M$ with $N \subseteq P$. Then $P$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$ if and only if $P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$ is a $\phi_{N}-2$-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M / N_{(Q)}$.

Proof. First, assume that $P$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$. Then we have $P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$ is a subsemimodule of $M / N_{(Q)}$. Now let $r, s \in R$ and $q_{1}+N \in M / N_{(Q)}$ where $q_{1} \in Q$ be such that $r s \odot\left(q_{1}+N\right) \in$ $P / N_{(Q \cap P)} \backslash \phi_{N}\left(P / N_{(Q \cap P)}\right)$. Then there existe unique $q_{2} \in Q \cap P$ such that $r s \odot\left(q_{1}+N\right)=q_{2}+N$ where $r s q_{1}+N \subseteq q_{2}+N$. Since $q_{2} \in P$ and $N \subseteq P$, we have $r s q_{1}+N \subseteq P$. Since $N \subseteq P$ and $P$ is a subtractive subsemimodule, $r s q_{1} \in P$. Since $r s q_{1}+N \subseteq q_{2}+N \notin \phi_{N}\left(P / N_{(Q \cap P)}\right)$, we obtain $r s q_{1}+N \subseteq$ $q_{2}+N \notin \phi(P) / N_{(Q \cap \phi(P))}$. Thus, we have $r s q_{1}=q_{2}+x$ for some $x \in N \subseteq$ $\phi(P)$. Since $q_{2} \notin Q \cap \phi(P)$, we get $q_{2} \notin \phi(P)$. Then $r s q_{1}=q_{2}+x \notin \phi(P)$ because $\phi(P)$ is subtractive. Now, we have $r s q_{1} \in P \backslash \phi(P)$. Since $P$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing subsemimodule of $M$, it can be concluded that $r q_{1} \in P$ or $s q_{1} \in P$ or $r s \in \sqrt{(P: M)}$. We claim that $r \odot\left(q_{1}+N\right) \in P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$ or $s \odot\left(q_{1}+N\right) \in P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$ or $r s \in \sqrt{\left(P / N_{(Q \cap P)}: M / N_{(Q)}\right.}$.

Case I: $r q_{1} \in P$. Since $q_{1} \in Q$, we have $r q_{1} \in Q$. Then $r q_{1} \in Q \cap P$. So, $r q_{1}+N \in P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$. Moreover, $r \odot\left(q_{1}+N\right)=q_{3}+N$ where $q_{3} \in Q$ is unique such that $r q_{1}+N \subseteq q_{3}+N$. Then $r q_{1}=q_{3}+x_{1}$ for some $x_{1} \in N \subseteq P$. Since $P$ is subtractive, we have $q_{3} \in P$. Thus, $r \odot\left(q_{1}+N\right)=q_{3}+N \in P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$.

Case II: $s q_{1} \in P$. We can conclude similarly to Case I that $s \odot\left(q_{1}+N\right) \in$ $P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$.

Case III: $r s \in \sqrt{(P: M)}$. Then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(r s)^{k} \in$ $(P: M)$. So, $(r s)^{k} M \subseteq P$. Let $q+N \in M / N_{(Q)}$ where $q \in Q$. Consider $(r s)^{k} \odot(q+N)=q_{4}+N$ where $q_{4} \in Q$ is unique such that $(r s)^{k}+N \subseteq q_{4}+N$. So, $(r s)^{k} q=q_{4}+x_{2}$ for some $x_{2} \in N \subseteq P$. Since $(r s)^{k} \in(P: M)$, we have $(r s)^{k} q \in P$. Hence, $q_{4} \in P$ because $P$ is subtractive. Then $q_{4} \in Q \cap P$. Thus, $(r s)^{k} \odot(q+N)=q_{4}+N \in P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$. Hence, $r s \in$ $\sqrt{\left(P / N_{(Q \cap P)}: M / N_{(Q)}\right.}$.

Therefore, $P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$ is a $\phi_{N}$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M / N_{(Q)}$.

Conversely, assume that $P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$ is a $\phi_{N}$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$. Let $r, s \in R$ and $x \in M$ such that $r s x \in P \backslash \phi(P)$. Since $N$ is a $Q$-subsemimodule of $M$ and $x \in M$, we have $x \in q_{1}+N$ where $q_{1} \in Q$. So, $r s x \in r s \odot\left(q_{1}+N\right)$. Let $r s \odot\left(q_{1}+N\right)=q_{2}+N$ where $q_{2}$ is the unique element of $Q$ such that $r s q_{1}+N \subseteq q_{2}+N$. Then $r s x \in q_{2}+N$. So there is $y \in N$ such that $q_{2}+y=r s x \in P$. Since $y \in N \subseteq P$ and $P$ is subtractive, we obtain $q_{2} \in P$. Then $q_{2} \in Q \cap P$. Thus, $r s \odot\left(q_{1}+N\right)=q_{2}+N \in P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$. Consider $r s x \notin \phi(P)$ and $y \in N \subseteq \phi(P)$. Since $r s x=q_{2}+y$ and $\phi(P)$ is subsemimodule, we have $q_{2} \notin \phi(P)$ so that $q_{2}+N \notin \phi(P) / N_{(Q \cap \phi(P))}=\phi_{N}(P / N)$. Now, we have $r s \odot\left(q_{1}+N\right)=q_{2}+N \notin P / N_{(Q \cap P)} \backslash \phi_{N}(P / N)$. Since $P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$ is a $\phi_{N}$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M / N_{(Q)}$, we get $r \odot\left(q_{1}+N\right) \in$ $P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$ or $s \odot\left(q_{1}+N\right) \in P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$ or $r s \in \sqrt{\left(P / N_{(Q \cap P)}: M / N_{(Q)}\right)}$. Here three cases arise.

Case I: $r \odot\left(q_{1}+N\right) \in P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$. Then $r \odot\left(q_{1}+N\right)=q_{2}+N$ where $q_{2}$ is the unique element of $Q \cap P$ such that $r q_{1}+N \subseteq q_{2}+N$. Thus, $r q_{1}+N \subseteq q_{2}+N \subseteq P$ because $N \subseteq P$ and $q_{2} \in Q \cap P$. So, $x \in q_{1}+N$ that $r x \in r\left(q_{1}+N\right) \subseteq r q_{1}+N \subseteq q_{2}+N \subseteq P$. Thus, $r x \in P$.

Case II: $s \odot\left(q_{1}+N\right) \in P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$. We can conclude similarly to Case I that $s x \in P$.

Case III: $r s \in \sqrt{\left(P / N_{(Q \cap P)}: M / N_{(Q)}\right)}$. Then $(r s)^{k} \odot M / N_{(Q)} \subseteq$ $P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $m \in M$. So, there is unique $q_{3} \in Q$ such that $m \in q_{3}+N$ and $(r s)^{k} m \in(r s)^{k}\left(q_{3}+N\right) \subseteq(r s)^{k} \odot\left(q_{3}+N\right)=q_{4}+N$ where $q_{4}$ is the unique element of $Q$ such that $(r s)^{k} q_{3}+N \subseteq q_{4}+N$. Now, $q_{4}+N=(r s)^{k} \odot\left(q_{3}+N\right) \in P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$. Then $(r s)^{k} m \in q_{4}+N \subseteq P$. So, $(r s)^{k} M \subseteq P$. Thus, $(r s)^{k} M \subseteq P$. Therefore, $r s \in \sqrt{(P: M)}$.

Hence, $P$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$.
Corollary 3.15. Let $M$ be an $R$-semimodule, $N$ a $Q$-subsemimodule of $M$, and let $P$ and $\phi(P)$ be subtractive subsemimodules of $M$ with $N \subseteq P$. If $\phi(P)=N$ and $P$ is a $\phi$-2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M$, then $P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$ is a weakly 2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M / N_{(Q)}$.

Proof. Since $\phi(P)=N$, we have $\phi_{N}(P / N)=\phi(P) / N=\{0\}$. By Theorem 3.14, we conclude that $P / N_{(Q \cap P)}$ is a weakly 2-absorbing primary subsemimodule of $M / N_{(Q)}$.
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