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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider an $R$-group where $R$ is a zero-symmetric right nearring. We define generalized essential ideal of an $R$-group and prove several properties. Further, we extend this notion to obtain a one-one correspondence between $s$-essential ideals of $R$-group and those of $M_{n}(R)$-group $R^{n}$.


## 1. Preliminaries

The concept of uniform dimension in modules over rings is a generalization of the dimension of a vector space over a field. A module in which every non-zero submodule is essential is called uniform. Uniform submodules play a significant role to establish various finite dimension conditions in modules over associative rings. Goldie [11] characterized equivalent conditions for a module to have finite uniform dimension. In Bhavanari [20], uniform dimension was generalized to modules over nearrings (also known as, $R$ groups) and proved a characterization for a $R$-group to have finite Goldie dimension (in short, f.G.d.). Goldie dimension aspects in modules over nearrings were extensively studied by [5, 7, 20]. In case of a module over a matrix nearring, the notions essential ideal, uniform ideal were defined in [6], and proved a characterization for a module over a matrix nearring to have a $f . G . d$. . In [10], the authors studied prime and semiprime aspects in connection with $f$.G.d. in $R$-groups and matrix nearrings.

In section 2, we introduce generalized essential ideal in $R$-groups and prove some properties. In section 3, we extend the notion of generalized essential ideal to modules over matrix nearrings and obtain a one-one correspondence between $s$-essential ideals of an $R$-group (over itself) and those

[^0]of $M_{n}(N)$-group $R^{n}$.
A (right) nearring $(R,+, \cdot)$ is an algebraic system (Pilz [18]), where $R$ is an additive group (need not be abelian), and a multiplicative semigroup, satisfying only one distributive axioms (say, right): $\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) n_{3}=n_{1} n_{3}+$ $n_{2} n_{3}$ for all $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3} \in R$. If $R$ is a right nearring, then $0 a=0$ and $(-a) b=-a b$, for all $a, b \in R$, but in general, $a 0 \neq 0$ for some $a \in R . R$ is zero-symmetric (denoted as, $R=R_{0}$ ) if $a 0=0$ for all $a \in R$. An additive group $(G,+)$ is called an $R$-group (or module over a nearring $R$ ), denoted by ${ }_{R} G$ (or simply by $G$ ) if there exists a mapping $R \times G \rightarrow G$ (image $(n, g) \rightarrow n g)$, satisfying: $(n+m) g=n g+m g ;(n m) g=n(m g)$ for all $g \in G$ and $n, m \in R$. It is evident that every nearring is an $R$-group (over itself). Also, if $R$ is a ring, then each (left) module over $R$ is an $R$-group. Throughout, $G$ denotes an $R$-group where $R$ is a right nearring.

A subgroup $(H,+)$ of $G$ with $R H \subseteq H$ is called an $R$-subgroup of G. A normal subgroup $H$ of $G$ is called an ideal if $n(g+h)-n g \in H$ for all $n \in R, h \in H, g \in G$. For any two $R$-groups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, a map $f: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ is called an $R$-homomorphism, $f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y)$ and $f(n x)=n f(x)$ hold for all $x, y \in G_{1}$ and $n \in R$. If $f$ is one-one and onto, then $f$ is an $R$-isomorphism.

In case of a zero symmetric nearring, for any ideals $A$ and $B$ of $G, A+B$ is an ideal of $G$ ([18], Corollary 2.3). For each $g \in G, R g$ is an $R$-subgroup of $G$. The ideal (or $R$-subgroup) generated by an element $g \in G$ is denoted by $\langle g\rangle$.
An ideal $H$ of an $R$-group $G$ is essential (see, [20]), if for any ideal $K$ of $G, H \cap K=(0)$ implies $K=(0)$. If every ideal $(0) \neq H$ of $G$ is essential then we say $G$ is uniform. An ideal ( $R$-subgroup) $S$ of $G$ is said to be superfluous ideal (see, $[2,3]$ ), if $S+K=G$ and $K$ is an ideal of $G$, imply $K=G$ and $G$ is called hollow if every proper ideal of $G$ is superfluous in $G$. Generalizations of essential ideals, prime ideals, superfluous ideals in $R$-groups, matrix nearrings, and hyperstructures were extensively studied in $[13,14,17,19,21,22,23,24,25]$.

For standard definitions and notations in nearrings, we refer to [8, 18].

## 2. Generalized essential ideals

Definition 2.1. Let $K$ be an $R$-ideal (or $R$-subgroup) of $G$. $K$ is said to be $s$-essential in $G$ (denoted by $K \unlhd_{s} G$ ) if for any superfluous $R$-ideal (or $R$-subgroup) $L$ of $G, K \cap L=(0)$ implies $L=(0)$.

Note 2.2. Every essential $R$-ideal of $G$ is $s$-essential in $G$.
Remark 2.3. Converse of Note 2.2 need not be true. Let $R=\mathbb{Z}$ and $G=\mathbb{Z}_{6}$. Then $K_{1}=\{\overline{0}, \overline{3}\}$ and $K_{2}=\{\overline{0}, \overline{2}, \overline{4}\}$ are the $R$-ideals of $G$. Then $K_{2}$ is $s$-essential but not essential, since $K_{2} \cap K_{1}=(\overline{0})$. but $K_{1} \neq(\overline{0})$.

Example 2.4. Consider the nearring with addition and multiplication tables listed in $\mathrm{K}(135)$ and $\mathrm{K}(139)$ of p. 418 of Pilz [18]. Let $G=D_{8}=$ $\langle\{a, b \mid 4 a=2 b=0, a+b=b-a\}\rangle=\{a, 2 a, 3 a, 4 a=0, b, a+b, 2 a+b, 3 a+b\}$, where $a$ is the rotation in an anti-clockwise direction about the origin through $\frac{\pi}{2}$ radians and $b$ is the reflection about the line of symmetry, and $G=R$. Then $G$ is an $R$-group. Consider the operations:

| + | 0 | $a$ | $2 a$ | $3 a$ | $b$ | $a+b$ | $2 a+b$ | $3 a+b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | $a$ | $2 a$ | $3 a$ | $b$ | $a+b$ | $2 a+b$ | $3 a+b$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $2 a$ | $3 a$ | 0 | $a+b$ | $2 a+b$ | $3 a+b$ | $b$ |
| $2 a$ | $2 a$ | $3 a$ | 0 | $a$ | $2 a+b$ | $3 a+b$ | $b$ | $a+b$ |
| $3 a$ | $3 a$ | 0 | $a$ | $2 a$ | $3 a+b$ | $b$ | $a+b$ | $2 a+b$ |
| $b$ | $b$ | $3 a+b$ | $2 a+b$ | $a+b$ | 0 | $3 a$ | $2 a$ | $a$ |
| $a+b$ | $a+b$ | $b$ | $3 a+b$ | $2 a+b$ | $a$ | 0 | $3 a$ | $2 a$ |
| $2 a+b$ | $2 a+b$ | $a+b$ | $b$ | $3 a+b$ | $2 a$ | $a$ | 0 | $3 a$ |
| $3 a+b$ | $3 a+b$ | $2 a+b$ | $a+b$ | $b$ | $3 a$ | $2 a$ | $a$ | 0 |


| $*_{1}$ | 0 | $a$ | $2 a$ | $3 a$ | $b$ | $a+b$ | $2 a+b$ | $3 a+b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $a$ | 0 | $a$ | $2 a$ | $3 a$ | $b$ | $a+b$ | $2 a+b$ | $3 a+b$ |
| $2 a$ | 0 | $2 a$ | 0 | $2 a$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $3 a$ | 0 | $3 a$ | $2 a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $a+b$ | $2 a+b$ | $3 a+b$ |
| $b$ | 0 | $b$ | $2 a$ | $2 a+b$ | $b$ | $a+b$ | $2 a+b$ | $3 a+b$ |
| $a+b$ | 0 | $a+b$ | 0 | $a+b$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $2 a+b$ | 0 | $2 a+b$ | $2 a$ | $b$ | $b$ | 0 | $2 a+b$ | $3 a+b$ |
| $3 a+b$ | 0 | $3 a+b$ | 0 | $3 a+b$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The proper ideals are $I_{1}=\{0,2 a\}, I_{2}=\{0, a+b, 2 a, 3 a+b\}$, and $R$ subgroups are $J_{1}=\{0,2 a\}, J_{2}=\{0, b\}, J_{3}=\{0, a+b\}, J_{4}=\{0,2 a+b\}$, $J_{5}=\{0,3 a+b\}, J_{6}=\{0, b, 2 a, 2 a+b\}, J_{7}=\{0,2 a, a+b, 3 a+b\}$. Then $J_{1}$ is $s$-essential but not essential, as $J_{1} \cap J_{3}=(0)$, whereas $J_{3} \neq(0)$.

Proposition 2.5. Let $G$ be a unitary $R$-group and $(0) \neq K$ be an $R$ subgroup of $G$. Then $K \unlhd_{s} G$ if and only if for each $0 \neq x \in G$, if $R x \ll G$, then there exists an element $n \in R$ such that $0 \neq n x \in K$.

Proof. Let $(0) \neq K$ be an $R$-subgroup of $G$ such that $K \unlhd_{s} G$. For each $0 \neq x \in G$, if $R x \ll G$, then since $1 \in R$ and $x \neq 0$, we have $R x \neq(0)$. Clearly, $R x$ is a $R$-subgroup of $G$. Since $K \unlhd_{s} G$, we get $K \cap R x \neq(0)$. Then there exists $0 \neq a \in K \cap R x$. Since $a \in R x$, there exists $n \in R$ such that $a=n x$. Therefore, $0 \neq n x \in K$. Conversely, suppose that $L$ be an $R$-subgroup of $G$ such that $(0) \neq L \ll G$. Then $0 \neq x \in L \subseteq G$. To show $R x \ll G$, let $T$ be an $R$-subgroup of $G$ such that $R x+T=G$. Now $R x \subseteq R L \subseteq L$. Thus, $G=R x+T \subseteq L+T$. So $L+T=G$. Now $L \ll G$ implies $T=G$. Therefore, $R x \ll G$. Then by hypothesis, there exists an element $n \in R$ such that $0 \neq n x \in K$. Hence $0 \neq n x \in K \cap L$, and so $K \cap L \neq(0)$. Therefore, $K \unlhd_{s} G$.

Proposition 2.6. Let $K, L, T$ be $R$-ideals of $G$ with $K \subseteq T$. If $K \unlhd_{s} G$, then $K \unlhd_{s} T$ and $T \unlhd_{s} G$.

Proof. Suppose that $K$ be an $R$-ideal of $G$ with $K \cap P=(0)$, where $P \ll T$. To show $P \ll G$, let $M$ be an $R$-ideal of $G$ such that $P+M=G$. Then $(P+M) \cap T=G \cap T$. Now by modular law, $P+(M \cap T)=T$. Since $P \ll T$, we get $M \cap T=T$. This implies $M \subseteq T$. Thus, $G=P+M \subseteq T=T$. Therefore, $T=G$. Hence $P \ll G$. Since $K \unlhd_{s} G$, we have $P=(0)$. Thus $K \unlhd_{s} T$. Now to show $T \unlhd_{s} G$, let $Q \ll G$ such that $T \cap Q=(0)$. Since $K \subseteq T$, we have $K \cap Q \subseteq T \cap Q=(0)$. Then by hypothesis, $Q=(0)$. Therefore $T \unlhd_{s} G$.

Remark 2.7. The converse of Proposition 2.6 need not be true. Let $R=\mathbb{Z}$ and $G=\mathbb{Z}_{36}$. $K=6 \mathbb{Z}_{36}$ and $L=18 \mathbb{Z}_{36}$ are $R$-ideals of $G$. Now $L \unlhd_{s} K$ and $K \unlhd_{s} G$. But $L \not \unlhd_{s} G$, since $L \cap 12 \mathbb{Z}_{36}=(0)$, but $12 \mathbb{Z}_{36} \neq(0)$.

Proposition 2.8. Let $K$ and $L$ be $R$-ideals of $G$. Then $K \cap L \unlhd_{s} G$ if and only if $K \unlhd_{s} G$ and $L \unlhd_{s} G$.

Proof. Let $K \cap L \unlhd_{s} G$. To show $K \unlhd_{s} G$, let $P \ll G$ such that $K \cap P=(0)$. Now, $(K \cap L) \cap P \subseteq K \cap P=(0)$. Since $K \cap L \unlhd_{s} G$, we have $P=(0)$. Thus $K \unlhd_{s} G$. Similarly, $L \unlhd_{s} G$. Conversely, suppose that $K \unlhd_{s} G$ and $L \unlhd_{s} G$. Let $P \ll G$ such that $(K \cap L) \cap P=(0)$. Then $K \cap(L \cap P)=(0)$. Now we show that $K \cap P \ll G$. Let $T$ be a $R$-ideal of $G$ such that $(K \cap P)+T=G$. Since $K \cap P \subseteq P$, we have $G=(K \cap P)+T \subseteq P+T$. Now $P \ll G$,
implies $T=G$. Thus $K \cap P \ll G$. Now, $L \unlhd_{s} G$ and $K \cap P \ll G$, implies $K \cap P=(0)$. Also $K \unlhd_{s} G$ and $P \ll G$ implies $P=(0)$. Therefore, $K \cap L \unlhd_{s} G$.

Proposition 2.9. Let $f: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ be an $N$-epimorphism. If $K \unlhd_{s} G^{\prime}$, then $f^{-1}(K) \unlhd_{s} G$.

Proof. Let $L \ll G$ such that $f^{-1}(K) \cap L=(0)$. To show that $K \cap f(L)=(0)$, let $x \in K \cap f(L)$. Then $x \in K$ and $x \in f(L)$. This implies $x=f(y)$, for some $y \in L$. Then $y=f^{-1}(x) \in f^{-1}(K)$ and $y \in L$. Thus $y \in f^{-1}(K) \cap L=$ $(0)$, and so $y=0$. Hence $x=f(0)=0$. Therefore, $K \cap f(L)=(0)$. Now we show that $f(L) \ll G^{\prime}$. Let $T$ be an $N$-ideal of $G^{\prime}$ such that $f(L)+T=G^{\prime}$. Then $L+f^{-1}(T)=f^{-1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=G$. This implies $f^{-1}(T)=G$, and so $T=f(G)=G^{\prime}$. Therefore, $f(L) \ll G^{\prime}$. Now since $K \unlhd_{s} G_{2}$ and $K \cap f(L)=(0)$, we get $f(L)=(0)$. Hence $L \subseteq f^{-1}(0) \subseteq f^{-1}(K) \cap L=(0)$. Therefore, $L=(0)$.

Theorem 2.10. Suppose that $K_{1} \leq_{R} G_{1} \leq_{R} G, K_{2} \leq_{R} G_{2} \leq_{R} G$, and $G=G_{1} \oplus G_{2}$; then $K_{1} \oplus K_{2} \unlhd_{s} G_{1} \oplus G_{2}$ if and only if $K_{1} \unlhd_{s} G_{1}$ and $K_{2} \unlhd_{s} G_{2}$.

Proof. Suppose that $K_{1} \unlhd_{s} G_{1}$. That is, $K_{1} \cap L_{1}=(0)$, for some $(0) \neq$ $L_{1} \ll G_{1}$. We show that $\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right) \cap L_{1}=(0)$. Let $x \in\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right) \cap L_{1}$. Then $x=k_{1}+k_{2}$ and $x=l_{1}$, where $k_{1} \in K_{1}, k_{2} \in K_{2}$. This implies $l_{1}=k_{1}+k_{2}$, and so $k_{2}=-k_{1}+l_{1} \in G_{1} \cap G_{2}=(0)$. Therefore, $k_{2}=(0)$. Hence $l_{1}=k_{1} \in K_{1} \cap L_{1}=(0)$. Therefore, $x=0$. This shows that $\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right) \cap L_{1}=(0)$. Now to show $L_{1} \ll G_{1}+G_{2}$, let $T \unlhd_{R} G_{1}+G_{2}$ such that $L_{1}+T=G_{1}+G_{2}$. Then $\left(L_{1}+T\right) \cap G_{1}=\left(G_{1}+G_{2}\right) \cap G_{1}$. Now by modular law, since $L_{1} \subseteq G_{1}$, we get $L_{1}+\left(T_{1} \cap G_{1}\right)=G_{1}$. Since $L_{1} \ll G_{1}$ and $T \cap G_{1} \unlhd_{R} G_{1}$, we have $T \cap G_{1}=G_{1}$, and so $G_{1} \subseteq T$. Thus, $G_{1}+G_{2}=L_{1}+T \subseteq G_{1}+T=T$. Therefore, $T=G_{1}+G_{2}$ shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1} \ll G_{1}+G_{2} \ldots \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $K_{1} \oplus K_{2} \unlhd_{s} G_{1} \oplus G_{2}$ implies $L=(0)$, a contradiction. Therefore $K_{1} \unlhd_{s} G_{1}$. In a similar way, it can be proved that $K_{2} \unlhd_{s} G_{2}$. Conversely, suppose that $K_{i} \unlhd_{s} G_{i}$ and $0 \neq g_{i} \in G_{i}(i=1,2)$. Then by Proposition 2.5 and by $(*)$ we have $R g_{i} \ll G_{1}+G_{2}$. Then by Proposition 2.5 , there exists $r_{1} \in R$ such that $0 \neq r_{1} g_{1} \in K_{1}$. If $r_{1} g_{2} \in K_{2}$, then $0 \neq r_{1} g_{1}+r_{1} g_{2} \in$ $K_{1} \oplus K_{2}$. If $r_{1} g_{2} \notin K_{2}$, then again by Proposition 2.5, there exists an $r_{2} \in R$ with $0 \neq r_{2} r_{1} g_{2} \in K_{2}$, and we have $0 \neq r_{2} r_{1} g_{1}+r_{2} r_{1} g_{2} \in K_{1} \oplus K_{2}$. Then $K_{1} \oplus K_{2} \unlhd_{s} G_{1} \oplus G_{2}$.

## 3. Generalized essential ideals in $M_{n}(R)$-group $R^{n}$

For a zero-symmetric right nearring $R$ with 1 , let $R^{n}$ will be the direct sum of $n$ copies of $(R,+)$. The elements of $R^{n}$ are column vectors and written as $\left(r_{1}, \cdots, r_{n}\right)$. The symbols $i_{j}$ and $\pi_{j}$ respectively, denote the $i^{\text {th }}$ coordinate injective and $j^{\text {th }}$ coordinate projective maps.
For an element $a \in R, i_{i}(a)=(0, \cdots, \underbrace{a}_{i^{t h}}, \cdots, 0)$, and $\pi_{j}\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)=a_{j}$,
for any $\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$. The nearring of $n \times n$ matrices over $R$, denoted by $M_{n}(R)$, is defined to be the subnearring of $M\left(R^{n}\right)$, generated by the set of functions $\left\{f_{i j}^{a}: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n} \mid a \in R, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\right\}$ where $f_{i j}^{a}\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{n}\right):=$ $\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, \cdots, l_{n}\right)$ with $l_{i}=a k_{j}$ and $l_{p}=0$ if $p \neq i$. Clearly, $f_{i j}^{a}=i_{i} f^{a} \pi_{j}$, where $f^{a}(x)=a x$, for all $a, x \in R$. If $R$ happens to be a ring, then $f_{i j}^{a}$ corresponds to the $n \times n$-matrix with $a$ in position ( $i, j$ ) and zeros elsewhere.

Notation 3.1. ([6], Notation 1.1)
For any ideal $\mathcal{A}$ of $M_{n}(R)$-group $R^{n}$, we write
$\mathcal{A}_{* *}=\left\{a \in R: a=\pi_{j} A\right.$, for some $\left.A \in \mathcal{A}, 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$, an ideal of ${ }_{R} R$.
We denote $M_{n}(R)$ for a matrix nearring, $R^{n}$ for an $M_{n}(R)$-group $R^{n}$. We refer to Meldrum \& Van der Walt [16] for preliminary results on matrix nearrings.

Theorem 3.2. (Theorem 1.4 of [6]) Suppose $A \subseteq R$.

1. If $A^{n}$ is an ideal of $M_{M_{n}(R)} R^{n}$, then $A=\left(A^{n}\right)_{\star \star}$.
2. If $A$ is an ideal of $R_{R} R$ if and only if $A^{n}$ is an ideal of $M_{M_{n}(R)} R^{n}$.
3. If $A$ is an ideal of ${ }_{R} R$, then $A=\left(A^{n}\right)_{\star \star}$.

Lemma 3.3. (Lemma 1.5 of [6])

1. If $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal of $M_{M_{n}(R)} R^{n}$, then $\left(\mathcal{I}_{\star \star}\right)^{n}=I$.
2. Every ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of $M_{M_{n}(R)} R^{n}$ is of the form $K^{n}$ for some ideal $K$ of ${ }_{R} R$.

Remark 3.4. (Remark 1.6 of [6]) Suppose $I, J$ are ideals of ${ }_{R} R$. Then
(i) $(I \cap J)^{n}=I^{n} \cap J^{n}$;
(ii) $I \cap J=(0)$ if and only if $(I \cap J)^{n}=(\overline{\mathbf{0}})$ if and only if $I^{n} \cap J^{n}=(\overline{\mathbf{0}})$.

Lemma 3.5. If $I$ and $J$ are ideals of $R$, then $(I+J)^{n}=I^{n}+J^{n}$.
Proof. Clearly, $I \subseteq I+J$ and $I \subseteq I+J$ which implies $I^{n} \subseteq(I+J)^{n}$ and $J^{n} \subseteq(I+J)^{n}$ and so $I^{n}+J^{n} \subseteq(I+J)^{n}$. To prove the other part, let $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in(I+J)^{n}$. Then $x_{i} \in I+J$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ which implies $x_{i}=a_{i}+b_{i}$, where $a_{i} \in I$ and $b_{i} \in J$.
Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) & =\left(a_{1}+b_{1}, a_{2}+b_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \\
& =\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)+\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n}\right) \\
& \in I^{n}+J^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $(I+J)^{n} \subseteq I^{n}+J^{n}$. Hence, $(I+J)^{n}=I^{n}+J^{n}$.
Lemma 3.6. $I+J=G$ if and only if $(I+J)^{n}=G^{n}$ if and only if $I^{n}+J^{n}=G^{n}$.

Lemma 3.7. (Note 1.7 (iii) of [6]) Let $A$ be an ideal of ${ }_{R} R$. Then $A \leq_{e} R R$ if and only if $A^{n} \leq_{e_{M_{n}(R)}} R^{n}$.

Definition 3.8. An ideal $\mathcal{A}$ of $M_{n}(R)$-group $R^{n}$ is said to be superfluous if for any ideal $\mathcal{K}$ of $R^{n}, \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{K}=R^{n}$ implies $\mathcal{K}=R^{n}$.

Definition 3.9. An ideal $\mathcal{K}$ of $M_{n}(R)$-group $R^{n}$ is said to be $s$-essential if for any ideal $\mathcal{A}$ of $R^{n}, \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{A}=(\overline{\mathbf{0}})$ and $\mathcal{A} \ll R^{n}$ implies $\mathcal{K}=(\overline{\mathbf{0}})$.

Lemma 3.10. Let $K$ be an ideal of ${ }_{R} R$. If $K \unlhd_{s_{R}} R$, then $K^{n} \unlhd_{s_{M_{n}(R)}} R^{n}$.
Proof. Let $K \unlhd_{s R} R$. To show $K^{n} \unlhd_{s_{M_{n}(R)}} R^{n}$, let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ideal of ${ }_{M_{n}(R)} R^{n}$ such that $K^{n} \cap \mathcal{L}=(\overline{\mathbf{0}})$ and $\mathcal{L} \ll{ }_{M_{n}(R)} R^{n}$. Now to show $\mathcal{L}_{\text {*ᄎ }} \ll{ }_{R} R$, let $B \unlhd_{R} R$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{\star \star}+B=R$. By Lemma 3.6, we have $\left(\mathcal{L}_{\star \star}+B\right)^{n}=R^{n}$. By Lemma 3.5, we have $\left(\mathcal{L}_{\star \star}\right)^{n}+B^{n}=R^{n}$. Now by Lemma 3.3, we get $\mathcal{L}=\left(\mathcal{L}_{\star \star}\right)^{n}$, which implies $\mathcal{L}+B^{n}=R^{n}$. Since $B^{n} \unlhd_{M_{n}(R)} R^{n}$ and $\mathcal{L} \ll$ $M_{n}(R) R^{n}$, we have $B^{n}=R^{n}$. Let $n \in R$. Then $(n, 0, \cdots, 0) \in R^{n}=B^{n}$. Therefore, $n \in\left(B^{n}\right)_{\star \star}=B$ (by Theorem 3.2(3)). Therefore, $B=R$, and so $\mathcal{L}_{\star \star} \ll{ }_{R} R$. So $K^{n} \cap \mathcal{L}=(\overline{\mathbf{0}})$ implies $K^{n} \cap\left(\mathcal{L}_{\star \star}\right)^{n}=(\overline{\mathbf{0}})$, and by Remark 3.4 (ii), $K \cap\left(\mathcal{L}_{\star \star}\right)=(0)$. Now since $K \unlhd_{s} R$, we get $\mathcal{L}_{\star \star}=(0)$. Thus $\mathcal{L}=\left(\mathcal{L}_{* *}\right)^{n}=(\overline{\mathbf{0}})$. This shows that $K^{n} \unlhd_{s_{M_{n}(R)}} R^{n}$.
Lemma 3.11. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an ideal of $M_{M_{n}(R)}$ R. If $\mathcal{A} \unlhd_{s_{M_{n}(R)}} R^{n}$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\star \star} \unlhd_{s}$ ${ }_{R} R$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} \unlhd_{s M_{n}(R)} R^{n}$. To show $\mathcal{A}_{\star \star} \unlhd_{s} R$, let $B<{ }_{R} R$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{\star \star} \cap B=(0)$. Then by Remark 3.4, we have $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\star \star}\right)^{n} \cap B^{n}=(\overline{\mathbf{0}})$ and by Lemma 3.3, we have $\mathcal{A}=\left(\mathcal{A}_{\star \star}\right)^{n}$, and so $\mathcal{A} \cap B^{n}=(0)$. Now to show $B^{n} \ll{ }_{M_{n}(R)} R^{n}$, let $\mathcal{L} \unlhd_{M_{n}(R)} R^{n}$ such that $B^{n}+\mathcal{L}=R^{n}$. To show $\mathcal{L}=R^{n}$. Since $\mathcal{L} \unlhd_{M_{n}(R)} R^{n}$, by Lemma 3.3, we have $\mathcal{L}=\left(\mathcal{L}_{\star \star}\right)^{n}$, which implies $B^{n}+\left(\mathcal{L}_{\star \star}\right)^{n}=R^{n}$. Now using Lemma 3.5, we get $\left(B+\mathcal{L}_{\star \star}\right)^{n}=$ $R^{n}$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.6, $B+\mathcal{L}_{\star \star}=R$, and since $B \ll_{R} R$, we get $\mathcal{L}_{\text {*ᄎ }}=R$. Hence, $\mathcal{L}=\left(\mathcal{L}_{\star \star}\right)^{n}=R^{n}$. This shows that $B^{n}<{ }_{M_{n}(R)} R^{n}$. Now $\mathcal{A} \unlhd_{s M_{n}(R)} R^{n}$ implies $B^{n}=(\overline{\mathbf{0}})$. Thus $B=(0)$. This shows that $\mathcal{A}_{\star \star} \unlhd_{s R} R$.

Theorem 3.12. There is a one-one correspondence between the set of sessential ideals of ${ }_{R} R$ and those of $M_{n}(R)$-group $R^{n}$.

Proof. Let $P=\left\{A \unlhd_{R} R: A \unlhd_{s_{R}} R\right\}$. $Q=\left\{\mathcal{A} \unlhd_{M_{n}(R)} R^{n}: \mathcal{A} \unlhd_{s_{M_{n}(R)}} R^{n}\right\}$. Define $\Phi: P \rightarrow Q$ by $\Phi(A)=A^{n}$. Then by Lemma 3.10, $A^{n} \unlhd_{s_{M_{n}(R)}} R^{n}$. Define $\Psi: Q \rightarrow P$ by $\Psi(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{A}_{\star \star}$. By Lemma 3.11, $\mathcal{A}_{\star \star} \unlhd_{s R} R$. Now $(\Psi \circ \Phi)(A)=\Psi(\Phi(A))=\Psi\left(A^{n}\right)=\left(A^{n}\right)_{\star \star}=A .(\Phi \circ \Psi)(\mathcal{A})=\Phi(\Psi(\mathcal{A}))=$ $\Phi\left(\mathcal{A}_{\star \star}\right)=\left(\mathcal{A}_{\star \star}\right)^{n}=\mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $(\Psi \circ \Phi)=I d_{P}$ and $(\Phi \circ \Psi)=I d_{Q}$.
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